COMMITTEE ON LEGISLATIVE RESEARCH OVERSIGHT DIVISION

FISCAL NOTE

<u>L.R. No.</u>: 0371-01 <u>Bill No.</u>: HB 96

Subject: Health Care; Health Department; Health, Public; Medicaid

Type: Original

Date: January 12, 2015

Bill Summary: This proposal establishes the requirements for certain authorized entities to

stock a supply of epinephrine auto-injectors for emergency administration.

FISCAL SUMMARY

ESTIMATED NET EFFECT ON GENERAL REVENUE FUND				
FUND AFFECTED	FY 2016	FY 2017	FY 2018	
Total Estimated Net Effect on General Revenue	\$0	\$0	\$0	

ESTIMATED NET EFFECT ON OTHER STATE FUNDS				
FUND AFFECTED	FY 2016	FY 2017	FY 2018	
Total Estimated Net Effect on <u>Other</u> State Funds	\$0	\$0	\$0	

Numbers within parentheses: () indicate costs or losses.

This fiscal note contains 7 pages.

L.R. No. 0371-01 Bill No. HB 96 Page 2 of 7 January 12, 2015

ESTIMATED NET EFFECT ON FEDERAL FUNDS				
FUND AFFECTED	FY 2016	FY 2017	FY 2018	
Total Estimated Net Effect on <u>All</u> Federal Funds	\$0	\$0	\$0	

ESTIMATED NET EFFECT ON FULL TIME EQUIVALENT (FTE)				
FUND AFFECTED	FY 2016	FY 2017	FY 2018	
Total Estimated Net Effect on FTE	0	0	0	

☐ Estimated Net Effect (expenditures or reduced revenues) expected to exceed \$100,000 in any of the three fiscal years after implementation of the act.

ESTIMATED NET EFFECT ON LOCAL FUNDS				
FUND AFFECTED	FY 2016	FY 2017	FY 2018	
Local Government	\$0	\$0	\$0	

L.R. No. 0371-01 Bill No. HB 96 Page 3 of 7 January 12, 2015

FISCAL ANALYSIS

ASSUMPTION

Officials from the **Department of Health and Senior Services** assume the proposal would not fiscally impact their agency.

Officials from the **University of Missouri (UM or University)** state the projected impact of the proposal on the University, if mandated, could be equal to or greater than \$100,000 when considering the cost of \$262.36 per box of 2 epinephrine pens plus the cost of training staff for the defined "authorized entities" of UM involved with its availability and administering the drug.

Oversight assumes since the provisions regarding a physician prescribing epinephrine auto-injectors in the name of an authorized entity and that entity acquiring a stock supply of epinephrine auto-injectors are permissive, the University would not be required to incur costs associated with this proposal.

Officials from **Missouri State University (MSU)** stated if enacted, the bill may have a negative fiscal impact on MSU, the specific amount and extent of which cannot bet determined and quantified at the present time.

Oversight assumes since provisions regarding the prescription of epinephrine auto-injectors by a physician to an authorized entity and the acquiring of a stock supply of epinephrine auto-injectors by the authorized entity are permissive, MSU would not incur the negative fiscal impact anticipated.

Officials from the **Columbia-Boone County Department of Public Health and Human Services (DPHHS)** state the DPHHS would incur unknown training costs as a result of this proposal. The proposal requires an employee, agent, or other person who provides or administers an epinephrine auto-injector to successfully complete an anaphylaxis training program prior to providing or administering an epinephrine auto-injector and at least every two years following successful completion of the initial training program. The training must be completed by a nationally recognized organization experienced in training laypersons in emergency health treatment or other entity or person approved by the Department of Health and Senior Services. Since the training entities are not yet established in regulation, it is impossible to know the cost associated with the training.

Oversight assumes since provisions regarding the prescription of epinephrine auto-injectors by a physician to an authorized entity and the acquiring of a stock supply of epinephrine auto-injectors by the authorized entity are permissive, the DPHHS would not be required to provide training in the use of the auto-injectors and, therefore, would not have to incur the training costs.

L.R. No. 0371-01 Bill No. HB 96 Page 4 of 7 January 12, 2015

ASSUMPTION (continued)

Officials from the **Randolph County Health Department** assume there will be some training and supply costs to having the epi auto-injectors in their facility. At the present time, they are unable to estimate the potential fiscal impact.

Oversight assumes since the provisions regarding acquiring a stock of epinephrine auto-injectors by authorized entities are permissive that the Randolph County Health Department will not incur training and stock costs.

Officials from the **Special School District of St. Louis County (SSD)** state that it isn't clear that the proposed legislation would apply to school districts. If it does apply to school districts, it will cost districts to send nurses to training as indicated by section 5. At this time the SSD is unable to determine whether this proposal will have a fiscal impact on their school district.

Oversight assumes since the provisions regarding acquiring a stock of epinephrine auto-injectors by authorized entities are permissive that the Special School District of St. Louis County will not incur training and stock costs.

Officials from the **Office of the Secretary of State (SOS)** state many bills considered by the General Assembly include provisions allowing or requiring agencies to submit rules and regulations to implement the act. The SOS is provided with core funding to handle a certain amount of normal activity resulting from each year's legislative session. The fiscal impact for this fiscal note to the SOS for Administrative Rules is less than \$2,500. The SOS recognizes that this is a small amount and does not expect that additional funding would be required to meet these costs. However, the SOS also recognizes that many such bills may be passed by the General Assembly in a given year and that collectively the costs may be in excess of what the office can sustain with the core budget. Therefore, the SOS reserves the right to request funding for the cost of supporting administrative rules requirements should the need arise based on a review of the finally approved bills signed by the governor.

Oversight assumes the SOS could absorb the costs of printing and distributing regulations related to this proposal. If multiple bills pass which require the printing and distribution of regulations at substantial costs, the SOS could request funding through the appropriation process.

Officials from the **University of Central Missouri (UCM)** do not foresee any fiscal impact on UCM since participation is optional.

L.R. No. 0371-01 Bill No. HB 96 Page 5 of 7 January 12, 2015

ASSUMPTION (continued)

Officials from the Department of Mental Health, the Department of Social Services, Division of Youth Services and the Division of Legal Services, the Joint Committee on Administrative Rules, the Office of Administration, Division of General Services, the Office of State Courts Administrator, the Office of State Public Defender, the Harrison County Public Health Department, the Carondelet Leadership Academy, the Kansas City Metropolitan Community College, Lincoln University, Missouri Southern State University, Missouri Western State University, St. Louis Community College, State Fair Community College and State Technical College of Missouri each assume the proposal would not fiscally impact their respective agencies.

Officials from the **Madison County Health Department** submitted a response but did not indicate the potential fiscal impact of the proposal on their organization.

Officials from the following **health departments**: Audrain County Health Unit, Cass County Health Department, Henry County Health Center, Howell County Health Department, Independence Health Department, Jefferson County Health Department, Linn County Health Department, McDonald County Health Department, Miller County Health Center, Morgan County Health Center, Nodaway County Health Center, Platte County Health Department, Reynolds County Health Center, Ripley County Health Center, Shelby County Health Department, and the St. Francois County Health Center did not respond to **Oversight's** request for a statement of fiscal impact.

Officials from the following **schools**: Blue Springs Public Schools, Branson Public Schools, Caruthersville School District, Charleston R-I School District, Cole R-I School District, Columbia Public Schools, Everton R-III School District, Fair Grove Schools, Francis Howell Public Schools, Fulton Public Schools, Harrisonville School District, Independence Public Schools, Jefferson City Public Schools, Kansas City Public School District, Kirbyville R-VI School District, Kirksville Public Schools, Lee Summit Public Schools, Macon School District, Malta Bend School District, Mexico Public Schools, Monroe City R-I School District, Nixa Public Schools, Parkway Public Schools, Pattonville School District, Raymore-Peculiar R-III School District, Raytown School District, Riverview Gardens School District, Sedalia School District, Sikeston Public Schools, Silex Public Schools, Spickard R-II School District, Springfield Public Schools, St. Joseph School District, St. Louis Public Schools, St. Charles Public Schools, Sullivan Public Schools, Warren County R-III School District, Waynesville Public School District, Allen Village School, and KIPP Endeavor Academy in Kansas City did not respond to **Oversight's** request for a statement of fiscal impact.

L.R. No. 0371-01 Bill No. HB 96 Page 6 of 7 January 12, 2015

ASSUMPTION (continued)

Officials from the following **colleges and universities**: Crowder, East Central, Harris-Stowe, Jefferson College, Moberly Area Community College, Northwest Missouri State University, Southeast Missouri State University, St. Charles Community College, Three Rivers Community College, and Truman State University did not respond to **Oversight's** request for a statement of fiscal impact.

FISCAL IMPACT - State Government	FY 2016 (10 Mo.)	FY 2017	FY 2018
	<u>\$0</u>	<u>\$0</u>	<u>\$0</u>
FISCAL IMPACT - Local Government	FY 2016 (10 Mo.)	FY 2017	FY 2018
	<u>\$0</u>	<u>\$0</u>	<u>\$0</u>

FISCAL IMPACT - Small Business

No direct fiscal impact to small businesses would be expected as a result of this proposal.

FISCAL DESCRIPTION

The proposed legislation appears to have no direct fiscal impact.

This legislation is not federally mandated, would not duplicate any other program and would not require additional capital improvements or rental space.

SOURCES OF INFORMATION

Department of Health and Senior Services
Department of Mental Health
Department of Social Services Division of Youth Services
Division of Legal Services
Joint Committee on Administrative Rules

HW-C:LR:OD

L.R. No. 0371-01 Bill No. HB 96 Page 7 of 7 January 12, 2015

SOURCES OF INFORMATION (continued)

Office of Administration -

Division of General Services

Office of Secretary of State

Office of State Public Defender

Columbia-Boone County Department of Public Health and

Human Services

Harrison County Public Health Department

Madison County Health Department

Randolph County Health Department

Carondelet Leadership Academy

Special Learning Center of St. Louis County

Kansas City Metropolitan Community College

Lincoln University

Missouri Southern State University

Missouri State University

Missouri Western State University

St. Louis Community College

State Fair Community College

State Technical College of Missouri

University of Central Missouri

University of Missouri

Mickey Wilson, CPA

Mickey Wilen

Director

January 12, 2015

Ross Strope Assistant Director January 12, 2015